Introduced by Assembly Member Aghazarian

February 21, 2007

An act to add and repeal Section 13849 of the Penal Code, relating to graffiti prevention, and making an appropriation therefor.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 528, as introduced, Aghazarian. Graffiti prevention: "Tag, You're It" Act of 2007.

Existing law establishes various crime prevention programs.

This bill would establish a graffiti prevention pilot program, to be known as the "Tag, You're It" Act of 2007, to fund, through grants, specified graffiti prevention and prosecution efforts in 5 counties, as specified and administered by the Office of Emergency Services. The bill would require a report to the Legislature and the Governor, not later than January 1, 2011, regarding the program, as specified. The bill would provide that these provisions would be repealed as of January 1, 2012.

The bill would appropriate \$5,000,000 from the General Fund to the Office of Emergency Services to fund the program.

Vote: $\frac{2}{3}$. Appropriation: yes. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. This act shall be known, and may be cited as, the
- 2 "Tag, You're It" Act of 2007.
- 3 SEC. 2. The Legislature finds and declares the following:

AB 528 — 2 —

(a) The California Research Bureau estimates that the statewide cost of graffiti abatement is potentially upwards of \$350 million annually.

- (b) Public costs, borne entirely by local governments, were estimated at over \$255 million.
- (c) Costs to businesses, property owners, and individuals constituted an additional \$80 million annually.
- (d) In addition, this estimate does not include the amount of wasted time and energy spent by individuals and local governments cleaning graffiti when they could be engaged in more productive behavior.
- (e) The survey also found that Santa Rosa spent \$250,000 on graffiti cleanup.
- (f) According to the city's Legislative Analyst, the city and county of San Francisco spent \$22 million on graffiti abatement in 2005.
- (g) Smaller cities also face high costs. The city of Gardena, a suburb of Los Angeles, estimates the cost of its graffiti abatement program at \$150,000 a year.
- (h) The city of Escondido, a suburb of San Diego, spent about \$150,000 a year on graffiti cleanup according to its Graffiti Task Force.
 - (i) Graffiti vandalism imposes heavy costs on California communities. A 2002 survey of communities by Public Technology, Inc., found that the City of Los Angeles spends about \$55 million per year on graffiti removal, the City of San Jose spends about \$3 million, and in 1999 Sacramento County spent about \$500,000. A February 2005 Legislative Analyst report estimated that the City and County of San Francisco spends \$22 million per year in cleanup and repair costs. In 1998, the United States Department of Justice estimated that vandalism costs schools, homeowners, businesses, youth, and others nationwide more than \$15 billion a year.
 - (j) Graffiti vandalism is often associated with gang crime and leads to a sense that a neighborhood is unsafe.
- (k) In an article in the March 1982 issue of the Atlantic Monthly titled "Broken Windows," criminologists James Q. Wilson and George Kelling argued that quality of life crimes like vandalism lead to a sense of community disorder and that this creates an

3 AB 528

1 atmosphere in which more disorder and more serious crime will 2 follow.

- (*l*) Californians have a right to feel safe in their communities. Widespread graffiti vandalism sends the message that public spaces are not safe and community pride is negatively impacted.
- (m) Many jurisdictions have not devoted sufficient resources to investigating and prosecuting graffiti vandalism because of resource constraints, the prevalence of other crimes in the jurisdiction, and other enforcement priorities.
- (n) The state should provide additional resources to jurisdictions that are willing to dedicate resources to address graffiti vandalism, aggressively investigate and prosecute graffiti vandalism, and ensure that graffiti vandalism is promptly removed and affected property owners compensated for losses resulting from graffiti vandalism.
 - SEC. 3. Section 13849 is added to the Penal Code, to read:
- 13849. (a) There is hereby established in the Office of Emergency Services, Division of Law Enforcement and Victim Services, a pilot program of financial and technical assistance designated as the "Tag, You're It" Graffiti Vandalism Prevention and Prosecution Program.
- (b) The allocation and award of funds under this section shall be made on application executed by:
 - (1) A county district attorney.

- (2) The county sheriff, a police chief within the county of the district attorney filing the application, or both the sheriff and one or more police chiefs within that county. The application shall state that the district attorney and law enforcement applicants jointly agree to work collaboratively to address the problem of graffiti vandalism in the jurisdiction covered by the application. The application need not apply to the entire county, but may apply to one or more cities, unincorporated area, or some other geographic subset of the county designated by the applicants.
- (3) The Board of Supervisors of the county shall approve any application submitted by the district attorney and agree to make the required matching funds available for the program, if selected for funding. If applicable, the city council representing the city of a police chief joining the application shall also do so.
- 39 (c) (1) The Office of Emergency Services, Division of Law 40 Enforcement, shall select five applications in five counties for

AB 528 —4—

funding pursuant to this section. One county selected for funding shall be located in Northern California. One county selected for funding shall be located in Central California. Three counties selected for funding shall be located in Southern California. The Office of Emergency Services, Division of Law Enforcement and Victim Services, shall select applications based upon a competitive process. Selected programs shall be required to demonstrate that they have a well-documented plan for collaboration between the district attorney's office, local law enforcement, and any local graffiti abatement programs, if those programs exist in the applicant county. The lack of a preexisting graffiti abatement program shall not disqualify an applicant from being awarded funds pursuant to this section. Successful applicants shall also demonstrate a serious graffiti vandalism problem in that jurisdiction and a level of graffiti vandalism in the applying jurisdiction sufficient to justify dedicating substantial state resources to graffiti vandalism investigation and prosecution in that jurisdiction.

- (2) Funding for successful applicants pursuant to this section shall allocate grant funds on the following basis:
- (A) Sixty percent to law enforcement for identification, investigation, arrest, and related costs associated with graffiti vandalism.
- (B) Thirty percent to the district attorney for costs associated with prosecution of graffiti vandalism. The district attorney shall assign at least one deputy district attorney to manage a dedicated graffiti vandalism caseload and shall employ a vertical prosecution methodology.
- (C) Ten percent to the jurisdiction covered by the application for costs associated with graffiti removal. The jurisdiction shall agree to allocate at least 50 percent of these funds to private property owners whose property was damaged by graffiti vandalism in order to compensate the property owner for the cost of cleanup activity or to defray costs expended by a government entity cleaning up damage caused by graffiti vandalism on private property. No more than 50 percent of these funds shall be used to reimburse government entities within the jurisdiction for uncompensated graffiti removal costs incurred as a result of damage on government-owned property.
- (3) Successful applicants shall agree that they will vigorously attempt to ensure that convicted graffiti vandals are required to

5 AB 528

perform community service, including graffiti cleanup, and required to provide restitution to victims of graffiti vandalism, including government entities. The district attorney shall agree to request that the court order such conditions for persons convicted of graffiti vandalism wherever appropriate.

- (4) Successful applicants shall agree to work collaboratively with probation departments and any gang suppression programs operating in the jurisdiction to identify linkages between graffiti vandalism and other criminal activity in the jurisdiction and shall use a multipronged approach to combating graffiti vandalism.
- (5) Successful applicants shall be encouraged to collaborate with after-school programs, gang prevention programs, and similar organizations in an effort to prevent graffiti vandalism.
- (d) The Office of Emergency Services shall report to the Governor and the Legislature, not later than January 1, 2011, on how the funds allocated pursuant to this section were expended, the number of arrests, prosecutions, and convictions obtained, the number of graffiti cleanup sites funded, and any other information deemed relevant by the office for determining whether the program has been successful and cost-effective in cleaning up graffiti vandalism, identifying the source of graffiti vandalism, bringing graffiti vandals to justice, and deterring graffiti vandalism in the community receiving grants under this program. The Office of Emergency Services may require successful applicants to provide any necessary statistics and other information required to complete this report as a condition of receipt of program funds.
- (e) Successful program applicants shall provide at least 25 percent in matching funds. Of this, not more than 50 percent shall be in-kind match.
- (f) The Office of Emergency Services may expend not more than 5 percent of program funds for administrative costs and the report specified in subdivision (c). The office shall provide technical assistance to programs selected for funding and identify effective strategies for preventing and combating graffiti vandalism for those programs.
- (g) Funds allocated pursuant to this section shall supplement, not supplant, expenditures by the recipients.
 - (h) As used in this section:
- (1) "Northern California" means Alameda, Alpine, Amador, Butte, Colusa, Contra Costa, Del Norte, El Dorado, Glenn,

AB 528 — 6—

- 1 Humboldt, Lake, Lassen, Marin, Mendocino, Modoc, Napa,
- 2 Nevada, Placer, Plumas, Sacramento, San Mateo, Santa Clara,
- 3 Santa Cruz, Shasta, Sierra, Siskiyou, Solano, Sonoma, Sutter,
- 4 Tehama, Trinity, Yolo, and Yuba Counties and the City and County5 of San Francisco.
- 6 (2) "Central California" means Calaveras, Fresno, Inyo, Kern,
- 7 Kings, Madera, Mariposa, Merced, Mono, Monterey, San Benito,
- 8 San Joaquin, San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Stanislaus, Tulare,9 and Tuolumne Counties.
- 10 (3) "Southern California" means Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, 11 Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego, and Ventura Counties.
- 12 (i) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2012, 13 and as of that date is repealed, unless a later enacted statute, that 14 is enacted before January 1, 2012, deletes or extends that date.
- SEC. 4. The sum of five million dollars (\$5,000,000) is hereby appropriated from the General Fund to the Office of Emergency
- 17 Services for the "Tag, You're It" Graffiti Vandalism Prevention
- 18 and Prosecution Program, and may be spent as required for the
- 19 program without regard to fiscal years.